UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,275	07/20/2006	Christopher John Howard Wort	285448US0PCT	5681	
	7590 12/09/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA	REET	OLSEN, ALLAN W			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1792			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/09/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary			10/566,275		WORT ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Allan Olsen		1792				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INSIGN OF THE IN	MAILING DA ⁻ s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, Il apply and will excause the applicate	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 21 Set	ptember 200	9.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 September 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>′</i> —			secution as to th	e merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>33-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) 4,5 and 7-32 is/are objected	ed to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri		election requ	irement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			objected to by the I	Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	-	•	-					
						FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) 5) 6)	=	nte				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-32 in the reply filed on September 21, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the Office has not shown that a burden exists in searching the entire application. This is not found persuasive because the various categories of claimed subject matter in this National Stage Entry (371 application) lack unity of invention as defined in PCT practice. It is also noted that applicant set forth various arguments based upon US practice than PCT practice (e.g., "The Examiner has failed to show other than allege that the claims of Group II have other uses besides that shown by the instant invention or can be made by other methods."). However, the restriction requirement is based upon the fact that the various groups of claims in this National Stage Application lack Unity of Invention. The determination that the various groups of claims lack a special technical feature and therefore lack Unity of Invention, is based upon the fact that the International Searching Authority has indicated, by way of the cited X and Y references, that the claimed subject matter does not contain define a contribution over the prior art and such a contribution over the prior art is an required element of a special technical feature.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 33-51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 7-32 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend upon another multiply dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 5 and 7-32 have not been further treated on the merits.

Drawings

The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the predetermined array...". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,275 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 5,127,983 issued to Imai et al. (hereinafter, Imai).

Imai teaches a diamond wafer assembly for use in a method of processing single crystal diamond substrates, comprising a plurality of single crystal diamond plates fixed to a support layer in a substantially planar arrangement such that at least one of the major surfaces of the respective fixed single crystal diamond plates defines a fabrication surface, that is exposed for further processing. (See, for example: abstract; figure 4; column 5, lines 32-40).

Imai teaches a diamond wafer assembly in which only one of the major surfaces of the respective fixed single crystal diamond plates is exposed for further processing, the support layer forming a backing layer for the fixed single crystal diamond plates opposite the respective fabrication surfaces. (See, for example, figures 6 and 9).

Imai teaches forming diamond by CVD. (See, for example, column 5, line 15).

Imai teaches forming a two dimensional array of diamond plates. (See, for example, figures 4 and 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,275 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W and F: 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,275 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Allan Olsen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792